

SYNTHETIC / DEMO — 合成データによる見本です。実在の顧客ではありません。 This is a sample built from synthetic data; it is not a customer engagement.

Implementation & Pilot Pack — SAMPLE (synthetic data)

Pilot / Validation Plan + Implementation / Market-entry Support

Report ・ レポート	Implementation & Pilot Pack — SAMPLE (synthetic data)
Product ・ 製品	パイロット / 検証計画 / Pilot / Validation Plan + 実装 / 市場参入支援 / Implementation / Market-entry Support
Prepared for ・ 宛先	Sample organization (SYNTHETIC SAMPLE)
Version ・ 版	v1 ・ compiled 2026-09-01 ・ released 2026-09-01
Reference ・ 参照	MM-WD-SAMPLE0000000000 ・ IMPLEMENTATION_PILOT_PACK ・ mm-ddv1-deliverable-compiler/1.0.0
Review ・ 確認	compiled not reviewed ・ state released
Evidence basis ・ 根拠	4 passages ・ 4 sources ・ stale ・ latest 2026-05-02 ・ contradictions none
Classification ・ 区分	customer private tenant ・ SYNTHETIC
Case	サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ） (founder review, v1)

Decision this document serves ・ この文書が支える意思決定
来年度にデマンド交通の実証事業を行うかどうかを決めたい（合成データ）

この成果物が扱う判断 The decision this addresses

何を決めようとしているか ・ What decision is being made?

- 事実 ・ fact 来年度にデマンド交通の実証事業を行うかどうかを決めたい（合成データ） (the requester's own words)
- 事実 ・ fact Geography stated by the requester: Sample City (synthetic) (customer-provided)
- 事実 ・ fact User group stated by the requester: 75歳以上の住民 (customer-provided)
- 事実 ・ fact Intended use stated by the requester: 議会向け予算説明 (customer-provided)

関連する Case Relevant Case

どの Case が関連するか・What Case is relevant?

1. **事実・fact** Case SAMPLE-CASE-01 — サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ）（state FOUNDER_REVIEW, version 1)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> · observed 2026-05-02
2. **推論・inference** 公開計画は運転手不足と減便を明記し、60歳以上の約4割が便数に不満と記載している（合成データ）。(the Case thesis is an inference over the evidence, not a fact)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> · observed 2026-05-02
3. **推論・inference** 減便後も高齢者が週2回の通院移動を維持できること — for 75歳以上の住民（合成データ）（SOURCE_CLAIM · OPEN)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E03

あり得る現地の役割 Local role that may exist

どの役割があり得るか・What local role may exist?

1. **事実・fact** Case SAMPLE-CASE-01 — サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ）（state FOUNDER_REVIEW, version 1)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> · observed 2026-05-02
2. **仮説・hypothesis** 公開計画は運転手不足と減便を明記し、60歳以上の約4割が便数に不満と記載している（合成データ）。(the Case thesis is an inference over the evidence, not a fact)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> · observed 2026-05-02
3. **推論・inference** 減便後も高齢者が週2回の通院移動を維持できること — for 75歳以上の住民（合成データ）（SOURCE_CLAIM · OPEN)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E03

必要な責任 Responsibilities required

何が求められるか・What responsibilities are required?

INCOMPLETE — this section is written by the reviewer before release; the compiler does not invent recommendations

制約 Constraints

どの制約が効くか・Which constraints matter?

1. **事実・fact** 運行1日あたり上限コスト <= 48000 JPY (recorded on the Case)
2. **事実・fact** 車椅子対応 = required (recorded on the Case)

検証プロトコル Validation protocol

何を検証するか・What validation protocol is needed?

INCOMPLETE — this section is written by the reviewer before release; the compiler does not invent recommendations

依存関係とリスク Dependencies and risks

何に依存し、何がリスクか・What dependencies / risks exist?

1. 不明・unknown Missing evidence class: FUNDING_PATHWAY (named by the qualification engine)
2. 不明・unknown Missing evidence class: OPERATOR_CAPACITY (named by the qualification engine)
3. 推奨・recommendation Bounded research task FUNDING_PATHWAY: sample city DRT subsidy programme (OPEN) (public-source only; nobody is contacted)

次の安全な一手 Next safe action

外部行動なしに進められる次の一手は何か・What is the next action that commits nothing externally?

INCOMPLETE — this section is written by the reviewer before release; the compiler does not invent recommendations

Limitations・制約:

- 3 of 8 sections are INCOMPLETE or GATED and say why
- no statement is verified beyond its evidence class; supplier-provided facts remain supplier-provided
- absence of evidence is not evidence of absence

This document does not claim・主張しないこと:

- no customer relationship, revenue, adoption, satisfaction or success is implied by delivery, access or acceptance; unverified statements are marked as such
- no partnership is implied until one exists and is approved

Methodology: Public-source discovery → evidence extraction with provenance → candidate qualification → Case formation → match evaluation against recorded constraints → human review. Every statement carries FACT / INFERENCE / HYPOTHESIS / RECOMMENDATION / UNKNOWN and its evidence ids; sections the substrate cannot support are marked INCOMPLETE, never filled.

Distribution: prepared for the named organization; internal use; no redistribution; unverified items are marked・**License:** 社内利用限定・再配布不可・未検証事項明示 / internal use, no redistribution, unverified items marked

Mirai Move・MM-WD-SAMPLE0000000000 v1・mm-pcpc1-report-render/1.0.0