

SYNTHETIC / DEMO — 合成データによる見本です。実在の顧客ではありません。 This is a sample built from synthetic data; it is not a customer engagement.

Partnership / Cooperation Plan — SAMPLE (synthetic data)

Implementation / Market-entry Support + Pilot / Validation Plan

Report ・ レポート	Partnership / Cooperation Plan — SAMPLE (synthetic data)
Product ・ 製品	実装 / 市場参入支援 / Implementation / Market-entry Support + パイロット / 検証計画 / Pilot / Validation Plan
Prepared for ・ 宛先	Sample organization (SYNTHETIC SAMPLE)
Version ・ 版	v1 ・ compiled 2026-09-01 ・ released 2026-09-01
Reference ・ 参照	MM-WD-SAMPLE0000000000 ・ PARTNERSHIP_COOPERATION_PLAN ・ mm-ddv1-deliverable-compiler/1.0.0
Review ・ 確認	compiled not reviewed ・ state released
Evidence basis ・ 根拠	4 passages ・ 4 sources ・ stale ・ latest 2026-05-02 ・ contradictions none
Classification ・ 区分	customer private tenant ・ SYNTHETIC
Case	サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ） (founder review, v1)

Decision this document serves ・ この文書が支える意思決定
この Case で協働の枠組みを検討するか（合成データ）

この成果物が扱う判断 The decision this addresses

何を決めようとしているか ・ What decision is being made?

- 事実 ・ fact この Case で協働の枠組みを検討するか（合成データ） (the requester's own words)
- 事実 ・ fact Geography stated by the requester: Sample City (synthetic) (customer-provided)
- 事実 ・ fact User group stated by the requester: 75歳以上の住民 (customer-provided)
- 事実 ・ fact Intended use stated by the requester: 議会向け予算説明 (customer-provided)

共有される課題 Shared problem

どの課題を共有するか・What problem is shared?

1. **事実・fact** Case SAMPLE-CASE-01 — サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ）（state FOUNDER_REVIEW, version 1)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> · observed 2026-05-02
 2. **推論・inference** 公開計画は運転手不足と減便を明記し、60歳以上の約4割が便数に不満と記載している（合成データ）。(the Case thesis is an inference over the evidence, not a fact)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> · observed 2026-05-02
 3. **推論・inference** 減便後も高齢者が週2回の通院移動を維持できること — for 75歳以上の住民（合成データ）（SOURCE_CLAIM · OPEN)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E03
-

協働があり得る理由 Why collaboration may make sense

なぜ協働が意味を持ちうるか・Why might collaboration make sense?

1. **事実・fact** service change: "2026年4月に市内2路線が減便された（合成データ）" (1 passage · OFFICIAL)
evidence SAMPLE-E01 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · observed 2026-05-01
 2. **事実・fact** population: "75歳以上の住民は約1,200人（合成データ）" (1 passage · OFFICIAL)
evidence SAMPLE-E02 · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · observed 2026-05-02
 3. **事実・fact** satisfaction: "60歳以上の約4割が便数に不満と回答（合成データ）" (1 passage · OFFICIAL)
evidence SAMPLE-E03 · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · observed 2026-04-20
 4. **事実・fact** budget: "地域公共交通関連予算は前年度比横ばい（合成データ）" (1 passage · OFFICIAL)
evidence SAMPLE-E04 · <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> · observed 2026-03-30
-

役割・提供物・責任 Roles, inputs and responsibilities

誰が何を担い、何を持ち寄るか・Who does what, and who brings what?

1. **推奨・recommendation** 現地運行は事業者、評価設計は研究側、記録はワークスペースで行う（合成データ・レビュー担当者の記載例） (authored by the reviewer (sample reviewer))
-

制約 Constraints

どの制約が効くか・Which constraints matter?

1. **事実・fact** 運行1日あたり上限コスト <= 48000 JPY (recorded on the Case)
2. **事実・fact** 車椅子対応 = required (recorded on the Case)

データ境界 Data boundary

どのデータが、どこまで共有されるか・What data is shared, and how far?

1. 推奨・recommendation 個人を特定できるデータは共有しない（合成データ・レビュー担当者の記載例） (authored by the reviewer (sample reviewer))

範囲と判断ゲート Scope and decision gates

どの範囲で始め、何を判断点とするか・What scope starts, and what are the decision gates?

1. 推奨・recommendation 第1ゲートは1地区での小規模検証の完了とする（合成データ・レビュー担当者の記載例） (authored by the reviewer (sample reviewer))

分かっていること Unknowns

何が不完全か・What evidence is incomplete?

1. 不明・unknown Missing evidence class: FUNDING_PATHWAY (named by the qualification engine)
2. 不明・unknown Missing evidence class: OPERATOR_CAPACITY (named by the qualification engine)
3. 推奨・recommendation Bounded research task FUNDING_PATHWAY: sample city DRT subsidy programme (OPEN) (public-source only; nobody is contacted)

次の安全な一手 Next safe action

外部行動なしに進められる次の一手は何か・What is the next action that commits nothing externally?

INCOMPLETE — this section is written by the reviewer before release; the compiler does not invent recommendations

Limitations・制約:

- 1 of 9 sections are INCOMPLETE or GATED and say why
- no statement is verified beyond its evidence class; supplier-provided facts remain supplier-provided
- absence of evidence is not evidence of absence

This document does not claim・主張しないこと:

- no customer relationship, revenue, adoption, satisfaction or success is implied by delivery, access or acceptance; unverified statements are marked as such
- no partnership exists merely because this plan exists

Methodology: Public-source discovery → evidence extraction with provenance → candidate qualification → Case formation → match evaluation against recorded constraints → human review. Every statement carries FACT / INFERENCE / HYPOTHESIS / RECOMMENDATION / UNKNOWN and its evidence ids; sections the substrate cannot support are marked INCOMPLETE, never filled.

Distribution: prepared for the named organization; internal use; no redistribution; unverified items are marked・**License:** 社内利用限定・再配布不可・未検証事項明示 / internal use, no redistribution, unverified items marked

