

SYNTHETIC / DEMO — 合成データによる見本です。実在の顧客ではありません。 This is a sample built from synthetic data; it is not a customer engagement.

Pilot Proposal — SAMPLE (synthetic data)

Pilot / Validation Plan

Report ・ レポート	Pilot Proposal — SAMPLE (synthetic data)
Product ・ 製品	パイロット / 検証計画 / Pilot / Validation Plan
Prepared for ・ 宛先	Sample organization (SYNTHETIC SAMPLE)
Version ・ 版	v1 ・ compiled 2026-09-01 ・ released 2026-09-01
Reference ・ 参照	MM-WD-SAMPLE0000000000 ・ PILOT_PROPOSAL ・ mm-ddv1-deliverable-compiler/1.0.0
Review ・ 確認	compiled not reviewed ・ state released
Evidence basis ・ 根拠	4 passages ・ 4 sources ・ stale ・ latest 2026-05-02 ・ contradictions none
Classification ・ 区分	customer private tenant ・ SYNTHETIC
Case	サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ） (founder review, v1)

Decision this document serves ・ この文書が支える意思決定
サンプル市でデマンド交通の限定パイロットを提案するか（合成データ）

この成果物が扱う判断 The decision this addresses

何を決めようとしているか ・ What decision is being made?

- 1. 事実 ・ fact サンプル市でデマンド交通の限定パイロットを提案するか（合成データ） (the requester's own words)
- 2. 事実 ・ fact Geography stated by the requester: Sample City (synthetic) (customer-provided)
- 3. 事実 ・ fact User group stated by the requester: 75歳以上の住民 (customer-provided)
- 4. 事実 ・ fact Intended use stated by the requester: 議会向け予算説明 (customer-provided)

仮説 Hypothesis

何を確かめるパイロットか・What hypothesis does the pilot test?

1. **事実・fact** Case SAMPLE-CASE-01 ― サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ）（state FOUNDER_REVIEW, version 1)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04・https://example.invalid/sample/service_change・https://example.invalid/sample/population・https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction・https://example.invalid/sample/budget・observed 2026-05-02
 2. **仮説・hypothesis** 公開計画は運転手不足と減便を明記し、60歳以上の約4割が便数に不満と記載している（合成データ）。（the Case thesis is an inference over the evidence, not a fact)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04・https://example.invalid/sample/service_change・https://example.invalid/sample/population・https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction・https://example.invalid/sample/budget・observed 2026-05-02
 3. **推論・inference** 減便後も高齢者が週2回の通院移動を維持できること ― for 75歳以上の住民（合成データ）（SOURCE_CLAIM・OPEN)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E03
-

範囲・場所・文脈 Scope, site and context

どの範囲・場所で行うか・In what scope, site and context?

1. **事実・fact** サンプル市でデマンド交通の限定パイロットを提案するか（合成データ）（the requester's own words)
 2. **事実・fact** Geography stated by the requester: Sample City (synthetic) (customer-provided)
 3. **事実・fact** User group stated by the requester: 75歳以上の住民 (customer-provided)
 4. **事実・fact** Intended use stated by the requester: 議会向け予算説明 (customer-provided)
-

参加者と役割 Participants and roles

誰が、どの役割で参加するか・Who participates, in what role?

1. **推奨・recommendation** 市の交通担当課・地域の運行事業者・利用者代表の三者で構成する（合成データ・レビュー担当者の記載例）（authored by the reviewer (sample reviewer))
-

成功指標と根拠計画 Success metrics and evidence plan

何をもって成功とし、どう記録するか・What counts as success and how is evidence recorded?

1. **推奨・recommendation** 週あたり利用回数と、通院移動の維持率を主要指標とする（合成データ・レビュー担当者の記載例）（authored by the reviewer (sample reviewer))
-

制約 Constraints

どの制約が効くか・Which constraints matter?

1. **事実・fact** 運行1日あたり上限コスト <= 48000 JPY (recorded on the Case)
2. **事実・fact** 車椅子対応 = required (recorded on the Case)

タイムラインとリソース前提 Timeline and resource assumptions

いつ・何が必要か・What timeline and resources are assumed?

1. 推奨・recommendation 準備2ヶ月・運行3ヶ月・評価1ヶ月を想定する（合成データ・レビュー担当者の記載例）
(authored by the reviewer (sample reviewer))
-

停止条件とパイロット後の判断 Stop conditions and the decision after

何が起きたら止め、終了後に何を決めるか・What stops the pilot, and what is decided after it?

1. 推奨・recommendation 重大事故または週次利用が閾値を下回った場合は停止する（合成データ・レビュー担当者の記載例）
(authored by the reviewer (sample reviewer))
-

分かっていること Unknowns

何が不完全か・What evidence is incomplete?

1. 不明・unknown Missing evidence class: FUNDING_PATHWAY (named by the qualification engine)
 2. 不明・unknown Missing evidence class: OPERATOR_CAPACITY (named by the qualification engine)
 3. 推奨・recommendation Bounded research task FUNDING_PATHWAY: sample city DRT subsidy programme
(OPEN) (public-source only; nobody is contacted)
-

次の安全な一手 Next safe action

外部行動なしに進められる次の一手は何か・What is the next action that commits nothing externally?

INCOMPLETE — this section is written by the reviewer before release; the compiler does not invent recommendations

Limitations・制約:

- 1 of 10 sections are INCOMPLETE or GATED and say why
- no statement is verified beyond its evidence class; supplier-provided facts remain supplier-provided
- absence of evidence is not evidence of absence

This document does not claim・主張しないこと:

- no customer relationship, revenue, adoption, satisfaction or success is implied by delivery, access or acceptance; unverified statements are marked as such
- no government demand, procurement intent, partnership, funding or endorsement is stated or implied without explicit evidence
- no pilot is represented as active, funded or agreed without real evidence

Methodology: Public-source discovery → evidence extraction with provenance → candidate qualification → Case formation → match evaluation against recorded constraints → human review. Every statement carries FACT / INFERENCE / HYPOTHESIS / RECOMMENDATION / UNKNOWN and its evidence ids; sections the substrate cannot support are marked INCOMPLETE, never filled.

Distribution: prepared for the named organization; internal use; no redistribution; unverified items are marked · **License:** 社内利用限定 · 再配布不可 · 未検証事項明示 / internal use, no redistribution, unverified items marked

Mirai Move · MM-WD-SAMPLE0000000000 v1 · mm-pcpc1-report-render/1.0.0