

SYNTHETIC / DEMO — 合成データによる見本です。実在の顧客ではありません。 This is a sample built from synthetic data; it is not a customer engagement.

Regional Mobility Decision Pack — SAMPLE (synthetic data)

Regional Mobility Diagnostic + Pilot / Validation Plan

Report ・ レポート	Regional Mobility Decision Pack — SAMPLE (synthetic data)
Product ・ 製品	地域モビリティ診断 / Regional Mobility Diagnostic + パイロット / 検証計画 / Pilot / Validation Plan
Prepared for ・ 宛先	Sample organization (SYNTHETIC SAMPLE)
Version ・ 版	v1 ・ compiled 2026-09-01 ・ released 2026-09-01
Reference ・ 参照	MM-WD-SAMPLE0000000000 ・ REGIONAL_MOBILITY_DECISION_PACK ・ mm-ddv1-deliverable-compiler/1.0.0
Review ・ 確認	compiled not reviewed ・ state released
Evidence basis ・ 根拠	4 passages ・ 4 sources ・ stale ・ latest 2026-05-02 ・ contradictions none
Classification ・ 区分	customer private tenant ・ SYNTHETIC
Case	サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ） (founder review, v1)

Decision this document serves ・ この文書が支える意思決定
来年度にデマンド交通の実証事業を行うかどうかを決めたい（合成データ）

この成果物が扱う判断 The decision this addresses

何を決めようとしているか ・ What decision is being made?

- 事実 ・ fact 来年度にデマンド交通の実証事業を行うかどうかを決めたい（合成データ） (the requester's own words)
- 事実 ・ fact Geography stated by the requester: Sample City (synthetic) (customer-provided)
- 事実 ・ fact User group stated by the requester: 75歳以上の住民 (customer-provided)
- 事実 ・ fact Intended use stated by the requester: 議会向け予算説明 (customer-provided)

根拠が支持する移動課題 Mobility pressure supported by evidence

どの移動課題が根拠に支えられているか ・ What mobility pressure is supported by evidence?

- 事実 ・ fact service change: "2026年4月に市内2路線が減便された（合成データ）" (1 passage ・ OFFICIAL)
evidence SAMPLE-E01 ・ https://example.invalid/sample/service_change ・ observed 2026-05-01
- 事実 ・ fact population: "75歳以上の住民は約1,200人（合成データ）" (1 passage ・ OFFICIAL)
evidence SAMPLE-E02 ・ <https://example.invalid/sample/population> ・ observed 2026-05-02
- 事実 ・ fact satisfaction: "60歳以上の約4割が便数に不満と回答（合成データ）" (1 passage ・ OFFICIAL)
evidence SAMPLE-E03 ・ <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> ・ observed 2026-04-20
- 事実 ・ fact budget: "地域公共交通関連予算は前年度比横ばい（合成データ）" (1 passage ・ OFFICIAL)
evidence SAMPLE-E04 ・ <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> ・ observed 2026-03-30

影響を受ける利用者・文脈 Affected user groups and contexts

誰が、どの文脈で影響を受けるか・Which user groups / contexts are affected?

1. **事実・fact** Case SAMPLE-CASE-01 — サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ）（state FOUNDER_REVIEW, version 1)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> · observed 2026-05-02
 2. **推論・inference** 公開計画は運転手不足と減便を明記し、60歳以上の約4割が便数に不満と記載している（合成データ）。 (the Case thesis is an inference over the evidence, not a fact)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · <https://example.invalid/sample/budget> · observed 2026-05-02
 3. **推論・inference** 減便後も高齢者が週2回の通院移動を維持できること — for 75歳以上の住民（合成データ）（SOURCE_CLAIM · OPEN)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E03
-

信頼できる介入の種類 Credible intervention classes

どの介入の種類が現実的か・What intervention classes appear credible?

1. **推論・inference** SOLUTION_CLASS demand-responsive-transport — INCLUDED (confidence 0.62)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E03
 2. **仮説・hypothesis** SOLUTION_CLASS volunteer-transport — REQUIRES_VALIDATION (confidence 0.35) (requires validation before it can be relied on)
evidence SAMPLE-E02
-

制約 Constraints

どの制約が効くか・Which constraints matter?

1. **事実・fact** 運行1日あたり上限コスト <= 48000 JPY (recorded on the Case)
 2. **事実・fact** 車椅子対応 = required (recorded on the Case)
-

分かっていること Unknowns

何が不完全か・What evidence is incomplete?

1. **不明・unknown** Missing evidence class: FUNDING_PATHWAY (named by the qualification engine)
2. **不明・unknown** Missing evidence class: OPERATOR_CAPACITY (named by the qualification engine)
3. **推奨・recommendation** Bounded research task FUNDING_PATHWAY: sample city DRT subsidy programme (OPEN) (public-source only; nobody is contacted)

パートナー・資金・パイロットの道筋 Partner, funding and pilot pathways

どの道筋があり得るか・What partner / funding / pilot paths may exist?

1. **事実・fact** Case SAMPLE-CASE-01 — サンプル市：路線減便後の高齢者の移動アクセス（合成データ）（state FOUNDER_REVIEW, version 1)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · [https://example.invalid/sample/budget · observed 2026-05-02](https://example.invalid/sample/budget_observed_2026-05-02)
2. **仮説・hypothesis** 公開計画は運転手不足と減便を明記し、60歳以上の約4割が便数に不満と記載している（合成データ）。（the Case thesis is an inference over the evidence, not a fact)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E02, SAMPLE-E03, SAMPLE-E04 · https://example.invalid/sample/service_change · <https://example.invalid/sample/population> · <https://example.invalid/sample/satisfaction> · [https://example.invalid/sample/budget · observed 2026-05-02](https://example.invalid/sample/budget_observed_2026-05-02)
3. **推論・inference** 減便後も高齢者が週2回の通院移動を維持できること — for 75歳以上の住民（合成データ）（SOURCE_CLAIM · OPEN)
evidence SAMPLE-E01, SAMPLE-E03

次に検証すべきこと Next validation

次に何を検証すべきか・What should be validated next?

1. **不明・unknown** Missing evidence class: FUNDING_PATHWAY (named by the qualification engine)
2. **不明・unknown** Missing evidence class: OPERATOR_CAPACITY (named by the qualification engine)
3. **推奨・recommendation** Bounded research task FUNDING_PATHWAY: sample city DRT subsidy programme (OPEN) (public-source only; nobody is contacted)

次の安全な一手 Next safe action

外部行動なしに進められる次の一手は何か・What is the next action that commits nothing externally?

INCOMPLETE — this section is written by the reviewer before release; the compiler does not invent recommendations

Limitations・制約:

- 1 of 9 sections are INCOMPLETE or GATED and say why
- no statement is verified beyond its evidence class; supplier-provided facts remain supplier-provided
- absence of evidence is not evidence of absence

This document does not claim・主張しないこと:

- no customer relationship, revenue, adoption, satisfaction or success is implied by delivery, access or acceptance; unverified statements are marked as such
- no government demand, procurement intent, partnership, funding or endorsement is stated or implied without explicit evidence

Methodology: Public-source discovery → evidence extraction with provenance → candidate qualification → Case formation → match evaluation against recorded constraints → human review. Every statement carries FACT / INFERENCE / HYPOTHESIS /

RECOMMENDATION / UNKNOWN and its evidence ids; sections the substrate cannot support are marked INCOMPLETE, never filled.

Distribution: prepared for the named organization; internal use; no redistribution; unverified items are marked · **License:** 社内利用限定 · 再配布不可 · 未検証事項明示 / internal use, no redistribution, unverified items marked

Mirai Move · MM-WD-SAMPLE0000000000 v1 · mm-pcpc1-report-render/1.0.0